



Review Article

Assessing sleepiness and cataplexy in children and adolescents with narcolepsy: a review of current patient-reported measures



Khadra Benmedjahed^{a,*}, Y. Grace Wang^b, Jérémy Lambert^a, Christopher Evans^c, Steve Hwang^c, Jed Black^{b,d}, Murray W. Johns^e

^a Mapi, Lyon, France

^b Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Palo Alto, CA, USA

^c Endpoint Outcomes, Boston, MA, USA

^d Stanford Sleep Medicine Center, Redwood City, CA, USA

^e Epworth Sleep Centre, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 May 2016

Received in revised form

23 December 2016

Accepted 26 December 2016

Available online 20 January 2017

Keywords:

Narcolepsy

Cataplexy

Sleepiness

Assessment

Children

Adolescents

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this study was to review patient-reported outcome measures assessing excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS) or cataplexy in children or adolescents to determine their usefulness and limitations in pediatric narcolepsy assessment.

Methods: Searches were performed in Embase and Medline for pediatric measures of EDS and cataplexy that are either patient- or proxy-reported, and searches of <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/> were conducted for studies in narcolepsy that included at least one patient-reported measure. Further review was performed if sleepiness questionnaires (child or proxy-reported), sleep questionnaires that may contain sleepiness questions, proxy-reported child behavior questionnaires, or information on cataplexy measures were mentioned.

Results: All self-reported cataplexy questionnaires from among 27 citations were study-specific diaries and were not identifiable as a recognized validated questionnaire. For EDS, 118 of 401 abstracts were further reviewed and the names of 21 questionnaires identified, of which eight questionnaires did not return additional citations of their validation. The Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) or a modified version was the most frequently used measure of EDS. Although all measures were associated with limitations for use in the pediatric population, the ESS has been successfully used in adolescents and was deemed readily amenable to further modification for children.

Conclusions: There remains a dearth of validated measures for assessing EDS and cataplexy in children and adolescents with narcolepsy. The need for these measures may be filled by modification or adaptation of existing adult measures; a daily cataplexy diary and the ESS may be readily modified to make them child-friendly with regard to wording and settings, but should still undergo psychometric validation.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Narcolepsy, a chronic neurological disorder, has a prevalence of 0.05% in the United States [1] and is considered an ‘orphan’ disease. Despite its low prevalence, it is a clinically important condition because there is no cure and disease management is required over the lifetime of the patient. This disease management often begins in childhood or adolescence since narcolepsy has an early onset, generally during the second decade of life, although

symptoms can be present in children <10 years of age [2–5]. In addition to specific pediatric issues of obesity and precocious puberty [6], narcolepsy in the pediatric population is associated with impaired academic performance and reduction in social and participatory activities [4], suggesting the need for early recognition and initiation of treatment.

Clinically, narcolepsy is characterized by excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS), cataplexy, hallucinations during onset of sleep or waking, sleep paralysis, and disrupted nighttime sleep, although not all symptoms may be present. Cataplexy and EDS are commonly the primary symptoms targeted for treatment [7]. EDS is present in

* Corresponding author. Mapi Group, 27 rue de la Villette, 69003, Lyon, France.
E-mail address: kbenmedjahed@mapi-group.com (K. Benmedjahed).

all narcolepsy patients, is required for diagnosis [8], and is generally the first symptom to occur, often preceding cataplexy by weeks or months [7]. In contrast, although cataplexy is considered pathognomonic for narcolepsy, it is present in approximately 70% of patients [7,8].

Current approaches to the management of narcolepsy are symptomatically driven, with EDS and cataplexy being the main therapeutic targets [9,10]. Published guidelines and best-practice recommendations suggest several pharmacological agents with the choice of therapy dependent not only on the presence of symptoms but also on a variety of patient- and therapy-related factors [9–11]. An important limitation is that these guidelines reflect an adult narcolepsy population [9,10]; thus far, no guidelines specific to the pediatric population have been developed. Nevertheless, management of pediatric patients with narcolepsy has been discussed in several publications [12–14]. However, such management primarily relies on choice of medication and dosing based on empirical data derived from adults because there has also been a lack of published clinical trials evaluating treatments in children and adolescents.

Appropriate long-term management also requires meaningful and regular assessment of treatment effects on frequency and severity of symptoms from the patient's perspective. For assessment of EDS and cataplexy, the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) [15] and a daily patient cataplexy frequency diary are generally used for these outcomes, respectively, in clinical trials and the clinical setting. However, these measures were designed for the adult population, and may not necessarily be appropriate for a pediatric population. Therefore, the purpose of this review is to identify and review patient-reported outcome (PRO) measures assessing EDS or cataplexy in children or adolescents with the goal of determining the usefulness and limitations of these instruments in pediatric narcolepsy assessment.

2. Methods

This review consisted of two parts, of which the first part was a search of the literature for pediatric measures of EDS and cataplexy that were either patient-reported or proxy-reported, ie, parents or caregivers. The second part was a search of PROs used in ongoing clinical trials of narcolepsy based on searches of <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/>.

The literature searches were performed in March 2013 in Embase and Medline. For narcolepsy/cataplexy, the terms used were: "(questionnaire MeSH OR questionnaire OR diary OR diaries OR scale) AND (carer OR caregiver OR parent OR proxy OR teacher) AND narcolepsy MeSH" with no limits. The search terms for sleepiness were: "(questionnaire MeSH OR questionnaire OR diary OR diaries OR scale) AND sleepiness" with limits of humans 6–18 years old, abstract, English, and last eight years.

Duplicate abstracts were removed and all abstracts were reviewed and excluded if the population was not pediatric or if there were no relevant questionnaires used in the study as described in the abstract (eg, sleep questionnaires that included only one or two items on sleepiness, study-specific questionnaires that were not documented or validated, name of questionnaire not provided). Articles thought to contain further information on cataplexy measures, or that mentioned a measure that seemed to be a validated questionnaire but did not give its name were ordered and reviewed in detail in order to identify the relevant questionnaires.

For the search of PROs in narcolepsy trials, phase 2, 3, or 4 trials in narcolepsy were identified from <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/> if they included at least one PRO instrument. The search was performed in December 2016. The available information from each trial was reviewed for determination of which PRO measures were

included and their appropriateness for use in assessment of sleepiness or cataplexy in pediatric narcolepsy.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Literature searches

3.1.1. Cataplexy questionnaires

The literature searches returned 27 abstracts that were evaluated. All self-reported cataplexy questionnaires mentioned were study-specific diaries and were not identifiable as a recognized validated questionnaire. Only one study reported the wording of their specific question, intended for use in adolescents [16]: "how frequently have you experienced episodes of sudden muscle weakness (eg, knee buckling, jaw opening, neck flopping or postural collapse) when you are having fun, excited, angry or laughing?" However, this question was translated in the article from Korean, and the study itself was not specific to narcolepsy.

Most studies that assessed cataplexy measured frequency of attacks, and this was done exclusively through use of the study-specific diaries. Although a few studies measured severity, such assessments were based on an arbitrary scale; for example, "grade 3 is complete loss of posture with fall to the ground, grade 2 is weakness with upright posture being maintained using an external support, such as holding on to a table, grade 1 is momentary weakness without the need to hold on to an object for support, such as head drop or the jaw falling open" [17]. One study described a clinician evaluation of cataplexy changes on a four-point scale (1 = none, 2 = slightly improved, 3 = unchanged, 4 = clearly worsened) [18], and even though it was not clearly stated in the study, this clinician evaluation could be plausibly based on a reading of the daily diary entries.

3.1.2. Sleepiness questionnaires

The search for EDS scales returned 401 abstracts. After excluding non-pediatric articles ($N = 190$), duplicates ($N = 7$), and articles in which there were no relevant questionnaires ($N = 86$), a total of 118 relevant abstracts were kept as reporting the use of a questionnaire assessing sleepiness in pediatric population.

As shown in Table 1, the names of 21 questionnaires that included assessment of sleepiness in the pediatric population were extracted from the relevant articles. Of these questionnaires, the ESS or a modified version of this scale was by far the most frequently used measure of EDS, followed by the Children's Sleep Habits Questionnaire. Further searches on eight of the questionnaires did not return any additional citations of their validation. The measures that were not further identifiable and thus were not considered for subsequent review included the Sleep Disorder Scale for Children [19–21], Children's Report of Sleep Patterns [22], Chronic Sleep Reduction Questionnaire [23], General Sleep Disturbance Scale [24], NIMHANS (National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences) Sleep Disorders Questionnaire [25], Sleepiness Scale adapted to Children and Adolescents [26], Sleepiness Scale [27], and the Sleep–Wake Habit Questionnaire [28]. It is possible that for at least some of these measures, mislabeling of the name may account for the inability to identify it.

Of the other 13 measures (Table 2), three were designed primarily for screening: Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children [29], Pediatric Sleep Questionnaire [30], and the BEARS (Bedtime problems, Excessive sleepiness, Awakenings during the night, Regularity of sleep, Snoring) questionnaire [31]. All are parent- or proxy-reported questionnaires and are generally used, respectively, to categorize sleep disorders in children, screen for sleep-related breathing disorders and symptom-complexes, and identify major sleep disorders in children during parent interviews. Additionally,

Table 1
Sleepiness questionnaires identified in the literature search for use in the pediatric population.

Instrument name	Frequency of use
Epworth Sleepiness Scale and ESS-modified	50
Children's Sleep Habits Questionnaire	24
Pediatric Daytime Sleepiness Scale—subject or caregiver completed	17
Pediatric Sleep Questionnaire	14
Karolinska Sleepiness Scale	Six
School Sleep Habits Survey	Six
Sleep Disorder Scale for Children	Three
Adolescent Sleep Hygiene Scale	Two
Chronic Sleep Reduction Questionnaire	Two
Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children	Three
BEARS questionnaire	One
Children's Sleep Hygiene Scale	One
Children's Report of Sleep Patterns—Sleepiness Scale	One
Cleveland Adolescent Sleepiness Questionnaire	One
General Sleep Disturbance Scale	One
NIMHANS Sleep Disorders Questionnaire	One
Pictorial Sleepiness Scale	One
Sleepiness Scale adapted to Children and Adolescents	One
Sleepiness Scale	One
Sleep–Wake Problems Behavior Scale	One
Sleep–Wake Habit Questionnaire	One

BEARS, Bedtime problems, Excessive sleepiness, Awakenings during the night, Regularity of sleep, Snoring; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; NIMHANS, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences.

the Sleep–Wake Problems Behavior Scale is a subscale of the School Sleep Habits Survey [32], and has little sleepiness-related content.

Overall, only six measures were identified as being worthy of greater consideration with regard to content and relevancy for evaluating sleepiness in narcolepsy. The School Sleep Habits Survey [32] was developed for a wide age range and is multidimensional, but it presents a substantial administration burden resulting from its 63 items. Additionally, it has not been validated or used in narcolepsy and its assessment of only school time limits its ability to capture other situations and daily activities. In contrast, the Cleveland Adolescent Sleepiness Questionnaire [38] has eight questions and a clear format. However, several of its questions relate to difficulty in waking up in the morning, which is not necessarily related to sleepiness, in the sense of an increased daytime sleep propensity. The vague recall period of a “usual week” may also be problematic for interpretation by respondents, and it has neither been validated in narcolepsy nor used in a pediatric narcolepsy population.

The Pediatric Daytime Sleepiness Scale [34] is not restricted to adolescents, thereby enabling assessment in a wider age range. Other advantages include that it has been validated for narcolepsy with good internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha of 0.81), albeit in a Chinese population, and its ability to significantly discriminate narcolepsy from controls and obstructive sleep apnea ($p < 0.001$) [40]. However, this measure also contains items that cover double concepts, and it has a narrow focus with regard to settings (a key item is related to school) and timing (morning). This narrow focus is especially limiting, since it is crucial to evaluate situational sleep propensity in a variety of contexts and settings [41].

The Pictorial Sleepiness Scale [39] has a strong advantage of being short and clear, and its use of pictures makes it adaptable for administration even in very young patients; however, these pictures may also lack cross-cultural validity. Nevertheless, it is unlikely to be suitable for use in narcolepsy because it evaluates the propensity for sleepiness only at a given point rather than assessing situational propensity, and its sensitivity to change has yet to be firmly established. Another short scale is the Karolinska Sleepiness

Scale [35], which demonstrates sensitivity to change but, like the Pictorial Sleepiness Scale, only provides an evaluation of sleepiness at a given time point, and has neither been validated nor used for evaluation of EDS in narcolepsy. Additionally, its wording may be too complex for younger children.

The ESS [42] is the most commonly used measure of sleepiness, in the sense of subjectively reported sleep propensity. It consists of only eight questions, and, in addition to its brevity, its evaluation of sleep propensity while engaged in eight different activities and situations means that it can provide an estimate of a general characteristic, ie, the patient's average sleep propensity in daily life [41]. The ESS has been validated in adults with narcolepsy [43,44]. It has also been used to measure changes in ‘sleepiness’ in the treatment of patients with narcolepsy, for which it also shows test–retest reliability [45]. The adult version of the ESS has been successfully used among adolescents in several large investigations in Canada [46], South Korea [47], and Hong Kong [28]. However, some of its questions may not necessarily be appropriate for a pediatric population, and the wording may be too complex for young children. This complexity has led some investigators to modify the adult ESS for use among adolescents and younger children. For example, Snow et al. [48] simply modified question seven from “sitting quietly after a lunch without alcohol” to “sitting quietly after lunch.” Joo et al. [49] modified question three from “sitting inactive in a public place, eg, theatre or meeting” to “sitting inactive at school.” Others have changed question eight from “in a car, while stopped for a few minutes in the traffic” to “doing homework or taking a test” [50]. Although each of these changes seemed appropriate from the point of view of face validity, the results from such investigations that used a variety of different versions of the ESS cannot be directly compared. However, its widespread acceptance and ease of use suggest that an appropriately standardized modification of the ESS for a pediatric population may provide an acceptable measure of EDS and is the best candidate measure.

3.2. Ongoing clinical trials

From the clinicaltrials.gov database, 24 trials in narcolepsy were identified as of December 2016; ten of these did not include PROs as primary or secondary efficacy endpoints. The 14 trials that did use PROs as efficacy endpoints are shown in Table 3. Among these trials, the ESS was the most common measure for the assessment of EDS, and was used in eight of the 14 studies. Other measures of sleepiness included the Stanford Sleepiness Scale [51] and the Pediatric Daytime Sleepiness Scale [34], each of which was used in one and four trials, respectively. Although the Stanford Sleepiness Scale is a single-item measure that appears to be sensitive to change, it evaluates sleepiness only at a particular time point rather than as an average propensity or associated with specific activities or settings. It may also be inappropriate for use in narcolepsy, especially pediatric narcolepsy, not only because of its lack of validation in this condition, but also because of unclear wording. Furthermore, factor analysis has suggested that the Stanford Sleepiness Scale, despite being a single-item measure, may be multidimensional rather than a unitary construct [52].

Two studies incorporated questionnaires that evaluate sleep quality, the Medical Outcomes Study Sleep Scale [53] and the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index [54]. These questionnaires include items that address daytime sleepiness, but they are inadequate for specifically assessing EDS because scoring of the questionnaires does not include sleepiness subscales and the questions themselves do not appropriately reflect the domain of daytime sleepiness. Similarly, one study included the Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire [55], which, while also including items suggestive of

Table 2
Characteristics of patient- or proxy-reported measures identified for potential use for evaluation of excessive daytime sleepiness in pediatric narcolepsy patients.

Questionnaire	Objective	Population	Number of items	Domains	Scoring	Recall period	Sensitivity to change
ESS [15]	To measure a subject's usual level of daytime sleepiness or average sleep propensity	Adult/child for modified version	Eight	Sleepiness (likelihood of falling asleep)	0–24 (higher scores = greater sleepiness)	"Recent times"	Yes
Children's Sleep Habits Questionnaire (parent-reported) [33]	Evaluation of sleep behavior in young children	Children with sleep disorders	35	Bedtime resistance; sleep onset delay; sleep duration; sleep anxiety; night awakenings; parasomnias; sleep disordered breathing; daytime sleepiness	Total sleep disturbance score of 33 items (higher scores indicate more sleep problems)	Past week	–
Pediatric Daytime Sleepiness Scale [34]	To evaluate daytime sleepiness in a pediatric population	Children 5–15 years old	Eight	Daytime sleepiness	0–32 (higher scores = greater sleepiness)	None	Yes
Pediatric Sleep Questionnaire (parent-reported) [30]	To screen for sleep-related breathing disorders and symptom-complexes	Children 2–18 years old	69	Snoring; sleep-related breathing disorders; excessive daytime sleepiness; inattention/hyperactive behavior	22-Item sleep-related breathing disorders score; not clear if other domain scores	Unknown	–
Karolinska Sleepiness Scale [35]	To measure sleepiness at a specific time point	General population	One	Sleepiness	1–9 (higher scores = greater sleepiness)	None	Yes
School Sleep Habits Survey [32]	To assess the sleep/wake habits and typical daytime functioning of high-school students	Children 9–19 years old	63	Sleep schedule regularity; school performance; daytime sleepiness; behavior problems; depressive mood; bed times, rise times, and total sleep times	Domain scores	Previous two weeks unless otherwise specified	–
ASHS [36] and CSHS (parent-reported) [37]	ASHS: to assess behaviors that may inhibit or facilitate sleep in adolescents; CSHS: measure of activities surrounding sleep in children	Adolescents (ASHS) and children aged 2–8 years (CSHS)	28 items on ASHS, and 17 items on CSHS	ASHS: physiological; cognitive; emotional; sleep environment; substances; sleep stability (although unclear, another article stated sleep inhibiting and sleep facilitating practices) CSHS: activities surrounding sleep; bedtime routines; stable bedtime and wake time	Global and subscale scoring; all scores range from 1 to 6 (higher scores indicate better sleep hygiene)	One month	–
Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children (parent-reported) [29]	To categorize sleep disorders in children	Children 6–15 years old	26	Initiating and maintaining sleep; sleep breathing disorders; disorders of arousal/nightmares; sleep/wake transition disorders; disorders of excessive somnolence; sleep hyperhidrosis	26–130 (higher scores = greater disturbance)	Six months	–
BEARS questionnaire (proxy-reported) [31]	Screening tool for major sleep disorders in children	Children 2–18 years old	Five	Bedtime problems; excessive sleepiness; awakenings during the night; regularity of sleep; snoring	None	None	–
Cleveland Adolescent Sleepiness Questionnaire [38]	Measurement of sleepiness	Children 11–17 years old	16	Degree of sleepiness; degree of alertness	16–80 (higher scores = greater sleepiness)	None	Yes
Pictorial Sleepiness Scale [39]	Measurement of perceived sleepiness at a given moment	Adults and children	One	Sleepiness	None	None	Yes
Sleep–Wake Problems Behavior Scale (subscale of the School Sleep Habits Survey) [32]	To evaluate irregular sleep habits	Adolescents	Ten	Irregular sleep habits; prolonged sleep latency; difficulties waking up in the morning.	10–50 (higher scores reflect more sleep-related problems)	Two weeks	–

ASHS, Adolescent Sleep Hygiene Scale; BEARS, Bedtime problems, Excessive sleepiness, Awakenings during the night, Regularity of sleep, Snoring; CSHS, Children's Sleep Hygiene Scale; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale.

Table 3

Patient-reported outcomes used for efficacy endpoints in ongoing or completed randomized, controlled trials listed in the clinicaltrials.gov database.

Product/sponsor (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier)	Phase/comparator	Conditions	Pediatric population	PRO primary efficacy endpoints	PRO secondary efficacy endpoints
Sublingual flumazenil/Emory University (NCT01183312) [56]	1–2/placebo	Hypersomnia; narcolepsy without cataplexy	No	–	Stanford Sleepiness Scale
PF-03654746/Pfizer (NCT01006122)	Two/placebo	Excessive daytime sleepiness associated with narcolepsy	No	–	ESS Medical Outcomes Study Sleep Scale SF-36 Patient diaries for cataplexy Brief Fatigue Inventory
ADX-N05/Aerial BioPharma (NCT01681121) [57]	Two/placebo	Narcolepsy	No	–	ESS PGI-C
ADX-N05/Aerial BioPharma (NCT01485770) [58]	Two/placebo	Narcolepsy	No	–	ESS
Clarithromycin/Emory University (NCT01146600) [59]	Two/placebo	Hypersomnia; narcolepsy	No	–	ESS Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire SF-36 Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index
Modafinil/Cephalon (NCT00107848)	Three/none	Narcolepsy; obstructive sleep apnea	Yes, ages 6–16 years	–	PDSS Child Behavior Checklist for ages 6–18 years
Pitolisant/Bioprojet (NCT01067222) [60]	Three/modafinil and placebo	Narcolepsy with or without cataplexy	No	ESS	Patient diary (number and duration of diurnal sleep and sleepiness episodes; number of cataplexy attacks)
Modafinil/Cephalon and Teva Pharmaceutical Industries (NCT00107796)	Three/placebo	Narcolepsy	Yes, ages 6–16 years	–	PDSS
Pitolisant/Bioprojet (NCT01399606)	Three/none	Narcolepsy	No	–	ESS
Pitolisant/Bioprojet (NCT01638403)	Three/Provigil and placebo	Narcolepsy	No	ESS	Five Dimension EuroQoL Patient's global opinion of the effect of treatment Patient daily diary (number and duration of diurnal involuntary sleep attacks and episodes of severe sleepiness; number of total and partial cataplexy attacks; number of hallucinations; number of sleep paralysis episodes; number and duration of nocturnal awakening and total duration of nocturnal sleep time)
Armodafinil/Cephalon (NCT00228566)	Three/none	Excessive daytime sleepiness associated with narcolepsy or obstructive sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome	No	PGI-C	–
Pitolisant/Bioprojet (NCT01067235)	Three/add-on modafinil vs placebo add-on modafinil	Narcolepsy with cataplexy	No	Patient diary for cataplexy attacks	ESS Patient sleep diary (number and duration of diurnal sleep and sleepiness episodes)
Modafinil/Cephalon and Teva Pharmaceutical Industries (NCT00214968)	Three/none	Excessive daytime sleepiness associated with narcolepsy or obstructive sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome	Yes, ages 6–16 years	–	PDSS
Pitolisant/Bioprojet (NCT02611687)	2/placebo	Narcolepsy with or without cataplexy	Yes, ages 6 to <18 years	PDSS Patient diary for cataplexy attacks	

ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; PDSS, Pediatric Daytime Sleepiness Scale; PGI-C, Patient Global Impression of Change; PRO, patient-reported outcome; SF-36, Short-Form Health Survey (36 items).

daytime sleepiness, focuses on function rather than the propensity for sleepiness. These measures are also unlikely to be appropriate for a pediatric population without extensive modification for language and settings.

Assessment of EDS through the use of daily diaries was also mentioned in three trials, although the details of how such information would be captured in a diary (ie, time and settings) were not stated (Table 3). While diaries could provide a useful method of recording daytime sleepiness on a daily basis, there would need to be some standardization to ensure consistency and accuracy, especially for assessment of this outcome in a pediatric population. It should also be noted that the three trials that reported using the Pediatric Daytime Sleepiness Scale were the only clinical trials in pediatric narcolepsy listed in the database (Table 3). Although the Pediatric Daytime Sleepiness Scale may be limited by its narrow focus, it has been the most commonly used method so far for assessing EDS in pediatric narcolepsy patients.

Relative to EDS, cataplexy was less frequently assessed, and in fact was only mentioned as an outcome in three of the trials (Table 3). When assessed, cataplexy was always measured through use of a patient diary to record the number of attacks. Of note, the severity of attacks was not mentioned in any of the trials or studies reviewed, and this may be due to a lack of an ability to determine how severity of cataplexy attacks can or should be measured because cataplexy is often heterogeneous in its presentation.

4. Conclusions

This review confirms not only the paucity of validated measures available for assessing EDS and cataplexy in children and adolescents with narcolepsy, but also the lack of formal clinical trials evaluating therapeutic approaches for the management of narcolepsy in pediatric patients. The results of searches suggest that the need for developing such measures may be filled by modification or adaptation of existing measures that are currently used in adults. Since the need for a cataplexy measure is unique to narcolepsy, and cataplexy is currently assessed in adults using a daily diary, adaptation of a diary format to more clearly define how to recognize cataplexy using child-friendly language may present the most appropriate approach for assessing this symptom. In contrast, EDS is also a characteristic of other conditions, and is not specific for narcolepsy. Of available measures of EDS, the ESS is the most widely used and has been validated in adults with narcolepsy. Thus, although other pediatric EDS measures are available, the ESS may represent a measure that can be readily modified and standardized to make it child friendly. The main benefit would be the use of a well-documented measure of EDS across the whole age range, from young children to adolescents, and to adults of all ages. This could overcome the problem of having multiple different modified versions of the ESS that are currently in use. Johns [61] has proposed a modified version of the ESS for children and adolescents, called the ESS-CHAD. Additional development of the ESS-CHAD based on a qualitative study, as well as development of a cataplexy diary for use in a pediatric population will be reported in a manuscript that is in development, although further validation of both measures is needed.

Acknowledgements

This literature review was funded by Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Under the direction of the authors, E. Jay Bienen, PhD, of The Curry Rockefeller Group, LLC (CRG), provided medical writing assistance for this publication. Editorial assistance in formatting, proof-reading, copy editing, and fact checking was also provided by CRG.

Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc., provided funding to CRG for support in the writing and editing of this publication.

Conflict of interest

Dr Benmedjahed and Dr Lambert are employees of Mapi, a consulting company commissioned by Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc., for this study. Dr Wang is an employee of Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc., who in the course of this employment has received stock options exercisable for, and other stock awards of, ordinary shares of Jazz Pharmaceuticals plc. Dr Evans and Mr Hwang are employees of Endpoint Outcomes, a consulting company commissioned by Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc., for this study. Dr Black is a part-time employee of Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and stockholder of Jazz Pharmaceuticals plc. Dr Johns owns the copyright to the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) and has received license fees from corporations and government agencies. His participation in this investigation was without financial considerations by Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

The ICMJE Uniform Disclosure Form for Potential Conflicts of Interest associated with this article can be viewed by clicking on the following link: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2016.12.020>.

References

- [1] Majid H, Hirshkowitz M. Therapeutics of narcolepsy. *Sleep Med Clin* 2010;5:659–73.
- [2] Ohayon MM, Ferini-Strambi L, Plazzi G, et al. How age influences the expression of narcolepsy. *J Psychosom Res* 2005;59:399–405.
- [3] Okun ML, Lin L, Pelin Z, et al. Clinical aspects of narcolepsy-cataplexy across ethnic groups. *Sleep* 2002;25:27–35.
- [4] Aran A, Einen M, Lin L, et al. Clinical and therapeutic aspects of childhood narcolepsy-cataplexy: a retrospective study of 51 children. *Sleep* 2010;33:1457–64.
- [5] Dauvilliers Y, Montplaisir J, Molinari N, et al. Age at onset of narcolepsy in two large populations of patients in France and Quebec. *Neurology* 2001;57:2029–33.
- [6] Poli F, Pizza F, Mignot E, et al. High prevalence of precocious puberty and obesity in childhood narcolepsy with cataplexy. *Sleep* 2013;36:175–81.
- [7] National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. Narcolepsy Fact Sheet. NIH publication 13–1637; last updated January 5, 2015. Available from: http://www.ninds.nih.gov/disorders/narcolepsy/detail_narcolepsy.htm#241213201. [Accessed 9 August].
- [8] American Academy of Sleep Medicine. The International classification of sleep disorders – third edition (ICSD-3). Darien, IL: American Academy of Sleep Medicine; 2014.
- [9] Billiard M, Bassetti C, Dauvilliers Y, et al. EFNS guidelines on management of narcolepsy. *Eur J Neurol* 2006;13:1035–48.
- [10] Morgenthaler TI, Kapur VK, Brown T, et al. Practice parameters for the treatment of narcolepsy and other hypersomnias of central origin. *Sleep* 2007;30:1705–11.
- [11] Thorpy MJ, Dauvilliers Y. Clinical and practical considerations in the pharmacologic management of narcolepsy. *Sleep Med* 2015;16:9–18.
- [12] Lecendreux M. Pharmacological management of narcolepsy and cataplexy in pediatric patients. *Paediatr Drugs* 2014;16:363–72.
- [13] Nevsimalova S. The diagnosis and treatment of pediatric narcolepsy. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep* 2014;14:469.
- [14] Babiker MO, Prasad M. Narcolepsy in children: a diagnostic and management approach. *Pediatr Neurol* 2015;52:557–65.
- [15] Johns MW. A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: the Epworth Sleepiness Scale. *Sleep* 1991;14:540–5.
- [16] Lee YJ, Cho SJ, Cho IH, et al. The relationship between psychotic-like experiences and sleep disturbances in adolescents. *Sleep Med* 2012;13:1021–7.
- [17] Mansukhani MP, Kotagal S. Sodium oxybate in the treatment of childhood narcolepsy-cataplexy: a retrospective study. *Sleep Med* 2012;13:606–10.
- [18] Huang YS, Guilleminault C, Chen CH, et al. Narcolepsy-cataplexy and schizophrenia in adolescents. *Sleep Med* 2014;15:15–22.
- [19] Blunden SL, Chervin RD. Sleep problems are associated with poor outcomes in remedial teaching programmes: a preliminary study. *J Paediatr Child Health* 2008;44:237–42.
- [20] Blunden S, Chervin RD. Sleep, performance and behaviour in Australian indigenous and non-indigenous children: an exploratory comparison. *J Paediatr Child Health* 2010;46:10–6.
- [21] Verberne LM, Maurice-Stam H, Grotenhuis MA, et al. Sleep disorders in children after treatment for a CNS tumour. *J Sleep Res* 2012;21:461–9.

- [22] Meltzer LJ, Biggs S, Reynolds A, et al. The children's report of sleep patterns—sleepiness scale: a self-report measure for school-aged children. *Sleep Med* 2012;13:385–9.
- [23] Meijer AM. Chronic sleep reduction, functioning at school and school achievement in preadolescents. *J Sleep Res* 2008;17:395–405.
- [24] Erickson JM, Beck SL, Christian BR, et al. Fatigue, sleep-wake disturbances, and quality of life in adolescents receiving chemotherapy. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2011;33:e17–25.
- [25] Krishnan P, Sinha S, Taly AB, et al. Sleep disturbances in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy: a sleep questionnaire-based study. *Epilepsy Behav* 2012;23:305–9.
- [26] Kubiszewski V, Rusch E, Hazouard E, et al. Sleep/wake patterns and sleep problems are associated to school-bullying. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;183:A5263.
- [27] Bruni O, Russo PM, Ferri R, et al. Relationships between headache and sleep in a non-clinical population of children and adolescents. *Sleep Med* 2008;9:542–8.
- [28] Chung KF, Cheung MM. Sleep-wake patterns and sleep disturbance among Hong Kong Chinese adolescents. *Sleep* 2008;31:185–94.
- [29] Bruni O, Ottaviano S, Guidetti V, et al. The Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children (SDSC). Construction and validation of an instrument to evaluate sleep disturbances in childhood and adolescence. *J Sleep Res* 1996;5:251–61.
- [30] Chervin RD, Hedger K, Dillon JE, et al. Pediatric sleep questionnaire (PSQ): validity and reliability of scales for sleep-disordered breathing, snoring, sleepiness, and behavioral problems. *Sleep* 2000;1:21–32.
- [31] Owens JA, Dalzell V. Use of the 'BEARS' sleep screening tool in a pediatric residents' continuity clinic: a pilot study. *Sleep Med* 2005;6:63–9.
- [32] Wolfson AR, Carskadon MA. Sleep schedules and daytime functioning in adolescents. *Child Dev* 1998;69:875–87.
- [33] Owens JA, Spirito A, McGuinn M. The Children's Sleep Habits Questionnaire (CSHQ): psychometric properties of a survey instrument for school-aged children. *Sleep* 2000;23:1043–51.
- [34] Drake C, Nickel C, Burduvali E, et al. The pediatric daytime sleepiness scale (PDSS): sleep habits and school outcomes in middle-school children. *Sleep* 2003;26:455–8.
- [35] Akerstedt T, Gillberg M. Subjective and objective sleepiness in the active individual. *Int J Neurosci* 1990;52:29–37.
- [36] LeBourgeois MK, Giannotti F, Cortesi F, et al. Sleep hygiene and sleep quality in Italian and American adolescents. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2004;1021:352–4.
- [37] Harsh JR, Easley A, Lebourgeois MK. A measure of children's sleep hygiene. *Sleep* 2002;25(Abtract Supplement):A316.
- [38] Spilsbury JC, Drotar D, Rosen CL, et al. The Cleveland adolescent sleepiness questionnaire: a new measure to assess excessive daytime sleepiness in adolescents. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2007;3:603–12.
- [39] Maldonado CC, Bentley AJ, Mitchell D. A pictorial sleepiness scale based on cartoon faces. *Sleep* 2004;27:541–8.
- [40] Yang CM, Huang YS, Song YC. Clinical utility of the Chinese version of the Pediatric Daytime Sleepiness Scale in children with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome and narcolepsy. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2010;64:134–40.
- [41] Johns MW. A new perspective on sleepiness. *Sleep Biol Rhythm* 2010;8:170–9.
- [42] Johns M, Hocking B. Daytime sleepiness and sleep habits of Australian workers. *Sleep* 1997;20:844–9.
- [43] Parkes JD, Chen SY, Clift SJ, et al. The clinical diagnosis of the narcoleptic syndrome. *J Sleep Res* 1998;7:41–52.
- [44] Johns MW. Sensitivity and specificity of the multiple sleep latency test (MSLT), the Maintenance of Wakefulness Test and Epworth Sleepiness Scale: failure of the MSLT as a gold standard. *J Sleep Res* 2000;9:5–11.
- [45] van der Heide A, van Schie MK, Lammers GJ, et al. Comparing treatment effect measurements in narcolepsy: the sustained attention to response task, epworth sleepiness scale and maintenance of wakefulness test. *Sleep* 2015;38:1051–8.
- [46] Gibson ES, Powles AC, Thabane L, et al. "Sleepiness" is serious in adolescence: two surveys of 3235 Canadian students. *BMC Public Health* 2006;6:116.
- [47] Shin C, Kim J, Lee S, et al. Sleep habits, excessive daytime sleepiness and school performance in high school students. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2003;57:451–3.
- [48] Snow A, Gozal E, Malhotra A, et al. Severe hypersomnolence after pituitary/hypothalamic surgery in adolescents: clinical characteristics and potential mechanisms. *Pediatrics* 2002;110:e74.
- [49] Joo S, Shin C, Kim J, et al. Prevalence and correlates of excessive daytime sleepiness in high school students in Korea. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2005;59:433–40.
- [50] Anderson B, Storfer-Isser A, Taylor HG, et al. Associations of executive function with sleepiness and sleep duration in adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2009;123:e701–7.
- [51] Hoddes E, Zarcone V, Smythe H, et al. Quantification of sleepiness: a new approach. *Psychophysiology* 1973;10:431–6.
- [52] MacLean AW, Fekken GC, Saskin P, et al. Psychometric evaluation of the Stanford Sleepiness Scale. *J Sleep Res* 1992;1:35–9.
- [53] Hays R, Stewart A. Sleep measures. In: Stewart A, Ware J, editors. *Measuring functioning and well-being: the medical outcomes study approach*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press; 1992. p. 235–59.
- [54] Buysse DJ, Reynolds 3rd CF, Monk TH, et al. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res* 1989;28:193–213.
- [55] Weaver TE, Laizner AM, Evans LK, et al. An instrument to measure functional status outcomes for disorders of excessive sleepiness. *Sleep* 1997;20:835–43.
- [56] Trotti LM, Saini P, Koola C, et al. Flumazenil for the treatment of refractory hypersomnolence: clinical experience with 153 patients. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2016;12:1389–94. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5664/jcs.m.6196>.
- [57] Ruoff C, Swick TJ, Doekel R, et al. Effect of oral JZP-110 (ADX-N05) on wakefulness and sleepiness in adults with narcolepsy: a phase 2b study. *Sleep* 2016;39:1379–87. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5665/sleep.5968>.
- [58] Bogan RK, Feldman N, Emsellem HA, et al. Effect of oral JZP-110 (ADX-N05) treatment on wakefulness and sleepiness in adults with narcolepsy. *Sleep Med* 2015;16:1102–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2015.05.013>.
- [59] Trotti LM, Saini P, Bliwise DL, et al. Clarithromycin in gamma-aminobutyric acid-related hypersomnolence: a randomized, crossover trial. *Ann Neurol* 2015;78:454–65. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ana.24459>.
- [60] Dauvilliers Y, Bassetti C, Lammers GJ, et al. Pitolisant versus placebo or modafinil in patients with narcolepsy: a double-blind, randomised trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2013;12:1068–75. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(13\)70225-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70225-4).
- [61] Johns M. The assessment of sleepiness in children and adolescents. *Sleep Biol Rhythm* 2015;13(Suppl. 1):97.